

Ministry of Science and higher education of the Republic of Kazakhstan

Pavlodar Pedagogical University named after Alkey Margulan



I APPROVE

Member of the management
Board, vice-rector for scientific work

B. Yesengeldin

December 25, 2025

"PEDAGOGICAL BULLETIN OF KAZAKHSTAN"
editorial policy of the journal

Considered and approved
Scientific and technical
at the meeting of the council
The University
25.12.2025
Protocol No. 12

Pavlodar, 2025

About the journal

The Pedagogical Bulletin of Kazakhstan is a peer-reviewed scientific practical and methodological journal intended for researchers, educators, education system workers and readers who are interested in the problems of education in the modern pedagogical process.

Since 2026, the journal has been included in the list of “2-List” publications recommended by the Committee for Quality Assurance in Science and Higher Education of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Order dated December 9, 2025 №2138)

The purpose of the journal is to promote the development of pedagogical science and practice through the publication of the results of theoretical and applied research in the field of education.

The journal aims to spread innovative approaches, methods and technologies of teaching and upbringing, as well as to stimulate scientific exchange between researchers, teachers, practitioners and specialists in the field of education and related disciplines. Attention is also paid to the modernization of the education system in the Republic of Kazakhstan, the introduction of modern educational standards and ensuring the quality of teacher training.

The journal publishes the results of scientific research by researchers, undergraduates and doctoral students in collaboration with scientific supervisors in solving topical issues in the field of education.

Thematic areas of the magazine:

Scientific research in the field of education.

Rules for authors

The editorial board inquiries the authors to be guided by the following particular rules while they are preparing articles for publication in the journal.

Scientific articles submitted to the editorial board of the journal must be designed according to the basic publishing standards for the design of articles in accordance with State Standard 7.5-98 "Journals, collections, information publications. Publishing design of released materials", article bibliographic lists in accordance with State Standard 7.1-2003 "Bibliographic writing. Bibliographic description. General requirements and rules of compilation".

- No more than one manuscript from the same author or the same author as part of a team of co-authors is allowed in the issue.
- The number of co-authors of one article is not more than 3.
- The degree of originality of the article should be at least 75% (excluding AI). The article undergoes a plagiarism check using the licensed Antiplagiat.ru system.

- Submitted articles should not be previously published, subsequent publication of the article in other journals, including translations into other languages, is not allowed
- The decision to accept the manuscript for publication is made after the peer review procedure.
- Double peer review (blind) is conducted confidentially, the name of the peer reviewer is not informed to the author, and the name of the author of the article is said to the reviewer.
- Payment for the article is made if the article is accepted for publication.
- No more than two manuscripts from the same author or the same author as part of a team of co-authors are allowed per year.
- Articles designed in violation of the requirements are not accepted for publication and are returned to the authors.

The editorial board is not engaged in literary and stylistic manipulation of the article.

Articles are published as they come.

The periodicity of the publication of the journal "Pedagogical Journal of Kazakhstan" is four times a year (quarterly).

The article (electronic version and payment receipts) should be sent to the website: <https://pedvestnik.ppu.edu.kz/>. To submit an article for publication, you should register on the website.

The person who has made the greatest intellectual contribution to the preparation of the manuscript (with two or more co-authors) is considered as the corresponding author and is labelled "*".

To implement the double review procedure (blind), the authors have to send two versions of the article: the first - with personal data, the second - only the content of the article.

Requirement for to format the article (Use a template):

The Editorial Board accepts previously unpublished articles in the scientific-pedagogical direction of the journal. Articles are submitted in electronic format (.doc, .docx,) ONLY by uploading them via the journal website <https://pedvestnik.ppu.edu.kz/>

The font size is 14 (the text of the tables may be 10), the font is Times New Roman, alignment - to the text width, single spacing, paragraph indent - 1.25 cm, margins: upper and lower - 2 cm, left and right - 2 cm.

Figures, tables, graphs, diagrams, etc. should be presented directly in the text, indicating the numbering and title (e.g., Figure (Table) 1 – name). The name of the table is indicated at the top of the Table, the name of the figure is indicated at the bottom of the figure. The number of figures, tables, graphs and diagrams should not exceed 20% of the total volume of the article.

The volume of the article (not including the title, information about the authors, abstract, keywords, bibliography list) should be at least 3000 words and should not exceed 5000.

Structure of the article:

First line - [UDC](#) number, (Universal Decimal Classification, It starts at 37...) alignment - on the left edge, font - bold.

Second line - [IRSTI](#) number (Interstate Rubricator of Scientific and Technical Information, It starts at 14...), left-alignment, bold font.

Single gap

The author(s) of the article - with initials and surname, if there are several authors, the places of work should be indicated as a list with ordinal numbers (superscript) in the sequence corresponding to the list of authors, city, country. Further, on a separate line indicate the author's e-mail: if there are several authors, only the e-mail of the corresponding author is indicated. The corresponding author is marked by *. For the design, see the [sample](#) article.

Single gap

The title of the article (Title) should reflect the essence and content of the article and catch the reader's attention. The title should be local and informative. The optimal length of the title is 7-13 words. The title should be presented in the language of the article. The title should be written in bold letters, IN CAPITAL LETTERS, centred and aligned.

Single gap

An annotation of 150-250 words in the language of the article (in the other two languages, it is provided at the end of the article after the list of references).

The structure of the annotation includes the following MUST paragraphs:

- Introduction about the topic of the research.
- Main directions and ideas of scientific research.
- Brief description of the scientific and practical importance of the work.
- The value of the research undertaken (the contribution made by the work to the relevant field of knowledge).

Keywords/phrases - number of 5-7 in the language of the article.

The annotation and keywords are presented in bold, lower case letters, italics. The very content of the annotation and the keywords themselves are in simple font, lowercase letters, italics.

Single gap

Article text

The text of the article should include the following parts:

Introduction

The introduction elucidates the relevance of the selected research problem, the need to identify effective ways to address it, and the extent to which it has been studied. At the same time, it determines the current significance of solving this problem and the potential applicability of the research findings to addressing important scientific and practical tasks. A concise description of the research aim and objectives is provided, along with the subject and object of the study, the

degree of problem elaboration indicating general research trends in the chosen field, existing contradictions in theory, methodology, practice, or research findings, as well as gaps in research or within scientific schools. In addition, it is necessary to briefly review the most authoritative studies by Kazakhstani scholars and researchers from neighboring and distant countries on the topic under consideration over the past 5-10 years.

Materials and methods

This section describes the sequence of the research procedures and provides a justification for the selection of the methods applied in the study. It presents a detailed description of the research material.

It is recommended to outline the methodology used to obtain the results, as well as the specific methods employed in the research. If non-standard or innovative data collection methods were applied, this section should be described with particular thoroughness and precision.

Results and discussion

The **main section** of the article is devoted to substantiating the working hypothesis through the analysis, synthesis, and interpretation of empirical data. In terms of volume, this section occupies a central position in the paper. It presents a well-reasoned account of the principal results of the conducted study, describes the extent to which the obtained findings correspond to the expected outcomes, demonstrates the achievement of the stated research objective, and highlights the scientific novelty that distinguishes the results of this work from those reported by other authors.

The application of various types and methods of mathematical and statistical analysis in this section is a necessary condition for ensuring the validity and reliability of the conclusions. Evidence is provided to support the applicability of the obtained results in both scientific research and practical contexts. The section consists of the author's original analytical and systematized material and, where appropriate, is accompanied by tables, graphs, and figures with subsequent descriptive commentary.

Conclusion

The section presents a systematic overview of the obtained results. It provides a concise formulation of the research findings and substantiates their significance. The scientific novelty of the results is described, and potential areas of application are identified, along with their practical and scholarly relevance. The section should also include a forecast of the development of the issues examined and outline prospects for further advancement of the main research problem.

Funding information (if available).

Single space

Contribution of the authors.

Single space

References

The content of the article must include reviews of scholarly works by domestic and international researchers on similar issues. The quality and quantity

of the sources cited indicate the relevance of the article. Therefore, authors are recommended to adhere to the following rules:

- The list of references should contain 10-20 sources.
- References in the text of the article should be indicated in square brackets (without quotation: [1]; when quoting or paraphrasing the author's text: [1, p. 29]). Numbering is done in the order of citation.
- When using sources from electronic resources, it is mandatory to provide a DOI or a link to the online resource with the full URL and the date of access.
- In order to ensure the principle of continuity and integration of international experience, authors are recommended to cite publications previously published in Scopus and WoS journals (at least 3 sources) and in journals included in the RK Committee list (at least 5 sources).
- No more than one (1) governmental document is allowed.
- Self-citation is permitted for no more than two sources.
- Sources published within the last 20 years may be used.
- The reference list must be prepared in two versions:
 1. According to [GOST 7.1-2003](#) "Bibliographic Record. Bibliographic Description. General Requirements and Rules for Compilation" in the original language of publication;
 2. In a transliterated version (<http://www.translit.ru>) following the APA 7 Style system (<http://www.apastyle.org/>), with an indication of the language of publication.

Example: GOST 7.1-2003:

1. Білім беру ұйымдарының педагогтерінде арналған Көсіби стандарт. Қазақстан Республикасы Оқу-ағарту министрінің 2025 жылғы 24 ақпандығы №31 бұйрығы. <https://adilet.zan.kz/rus/docs/G25HP000031> (қаралу күні 24.10.2025)

2. **Aktoprak, A., Hursen, C.** A bibliometric and content analysis of critical thinking in primary education // Thinking Skills and Creativity. – 2022. – №4(44). – Р. 1-10. – <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2022.101029>

3. **Акешова, М., Абжекенова Б.** Профессиональная педагогическая компетенция: ключевой пункт развития креативных способностей обучаемых // Вестник КазНУ: серия педагогические науки. – 2023. – №1(74). – С. 155-164. – <https://doi.org/10.26577/JES.2023.v74.i1.015>

Example: Transliterated according to APA 7 Style:

1. Aimagambetov, A. (2022). *Balany zhabirleudin (bullying) profilaktikasy қағидалары [Rules for preventing bullying of children]*. Order of the Minister of Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated December 21, 2022, No. 506 <https://adilet.zan.kz/kaz/docs/V2200031180> (review date 24.10.2025) [in Kazakh]

2. Mehari, K., Beulah, B., Paskewich, B., Leff, S., & Waasdorp, T. (2022). Cyberbullying and empathy among late-elementary school children. *International Journal of Bullying Prevention*, 5, 79-87. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42380-022-00119-9> [in English]

3. Abdigapbarova, U., Zhienbaeva, N. (2021). Nauchno-metodicheskie rekomendacii sovershenstvovaniya professional'noj podgotovki budushchego uchitelya na osnove studentocentrirovannogo obucheniya v usloviyah cifrovoj

sredy [Scientific and methodological recommendations for improving the professional training of a future teacher on the basis of student-centred learning in a digital environment]. *Journal “Pedagogy and Psychology” of the Abai Kazakh National Pedagogical University*, 2(47), 31-40. <https://doi.org/10.51889/2021-2.2077-6861.03> [in Russian]

Single space

Title, authors, abstract and keywords in two languages other than the language of the article is placed after *Reference* in two languages other than the language of the article (Kazakh / Russian / English).

One space

Information about the authors include

Full name, academic degree, title, position, organization, address, country.

E-mail, phone (only for the correspondent's author), ORCID: (if available)

For example:

Information about the authors:

Matayev Berik - Philosophy Doctor (PhD), Associate Professor of the Higher School of Pedagogy, Pavlodar Pedagogical University named after Alkey Margulan, Pavlodar, Kazakhstan. E-mail: mataevba@pspu.kz. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0610-5108>

Akhmetova Dinara - the author is for correspondence, Master's student, Pavlodar Pedagogical University named after Alkey Margulan, Pavlodar, Kazakhstan. Tel. +77773179995. E-mail: dinaraakhmetova11@gmail.com, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0610-5109>

Авторлар туралы мәлемет:

Матаев Берік Айтбайұлы - философия докторы (PhD), Педагогика жоғары мектебінің қауымдастырылған профессоры, Әлкей Марғұлан атындағы Павлодар педагогикалық университеті, Павлодар, Қазақстан. E-mail: mataevba@pspu.kz. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0610-5108>

Ахметова Динара Салкеновна – хат-хабар үшін автор, магистрант, Әлкей Марғұлан атындағы Павлодар педагогикалық университеті, Павлодар, Қазақстан. Tel. +77773179995. E-mail: dinaraakhmetova11@gmail.com, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0610-5109>

Информация об авторах:

Матаев Берик Айтбаевич - доктор философии (PhD), ассоциированный профессор высшей школы педагогики, Павлодарский педагогический университет имени Әлкей Марғұлан, Павлодар, Казахстан. E-mail: mataevba@pspu.kz. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0610-5108>

Ахметова Динара Салкеновна - автор для корреспонденции, магистрант, Павлодарский педагогический университет имени Әлкей Марғұлан. Павлодар, Казахстан. Tel. +77773179995. E-mail: dinaraakhmetova11@gmail.com, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0610-5109>

ATTENTION! The Editorial Board asks authors to clearly distinguish between a hyphen (-) and an en dash (–).

All manuscripts submitted to the Editorial Office are subject, at the first stage, to mandatory preliminary technical screening to verify compliance with the formatting requirements set out in this Guidelines and in the Article Template available on the journal's

website. In the event that a manuscript does not meet the journal's formatting requirements, it is returned to the author(s) for revision.

We remind authors that the formatting of the manuscript is the sole responsibility of the author(s). The Editorial Board only provides comprehensive information on the required standards and a sample article format and conducts technical screening at the initial stage of manuscript evaluation.

If the author(s) fail to pass the preliminary technical screening twice, the manuscript is rejected permanently and will not be considered for resubmission to the journal.

At the second stage of the review process, all manuscripts are subject to mandatory screening using the Antiplagiat system (<https://antiplagiat.ru>). The originality rate of the article must be at least 75%. If the manuscript fails to meet this requirement (i.e., the originality rate is below 75%), the article is rejected and may only be resubmitted to the journal through a new application.

After successfully passing the initial technical check and plagiarism screening, manuscripts are sent for anonymous double-blind peer review. The review process may take from 1 to 6 months. The author(s) are informed of the review results through their personal accounts. Manuscripts that receive negative reviews are not accepted for reconsideration.

Revised versions of manuscripts and the author's responses to reviewers must be submitted to the editorial office via the author's personal account on the journal's website within the deadlines specified by the editorial board. Manuscripts that receive positive peer reviews are forwarded to the journal's editorial board for further consideration.

The article review and evaluation process is transparent; authors can monitor all stages through their personal accounts on the journal's website and use this platform to communicate with the editorial office.

ATTENTION! The editorial office does not engage in discussions with authors regarding manuscript formatting, article content, or publication decisions.

Template

UDK 37...

IRSTI 14...

B. Matayev^{*1}, K. Shalgynbayeva², Z. Kulsharipova¹

¹*Pavlodar Pedagogical University named after A. Margulan, Pavlodar, Kazakhstan*

²*L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Astana, Kazakhstan*

matayevba@pspu.kz

FEATURES OF THE FORMATION OF THE "SELF" CONCEPT OF STUDENTS DURING THE COVID-19

Annotation. *Text, text, text. ...*

Keywords: *"Self" concept, professional training, student, personality, future specialist.*

Introduction

Text, text, text. ...

Materials and methods

Text, text, text. ...

Results and discussion

Text, text, text. ...

Conclusion

Text, text, text. ...

Contribution of the authors:

B. Matayev – was responsible for the ...

K. Shalgynbayeva – coordinated the empirical research phase, ...

Z. Kulsharipova – conducted the data processing and statistical analysis, ...

List of used literature

1 **Матаев, Б.А.** Кәсіби даярлау жағдайында студенттердің «Мен» концепциясын қалыптастырудың ғылыми негіздері: 6D010300: док. PhD ... дис. – Нұр-Сұлтан, 2022. – 176 б.

2 **Матаев, Б.А., Тулекова, Г.М.** «Мен» концепция психологиядағы зерттеу пәні ретінде // С. Торайғыров атындағы Павлодар мемлекеттік университетінің ғылыми журналы. – 2019. – №4. – Б. 264-275. <https://vestnik-pedagogic.tou.edu.kz/storage/journals/63.pdf> (қаралу күні 24.10.2025)

3 **Бодалев, А.А. Столин, В.В. Аванесов, В.С.** Общая психодиагностика. СПб.: Речь, 2006. – 440 с.

4 Білім беру ұйымдарының педагогтеріне арналған Кәсіби стандарт. Қазақстан Республикасы Оқу-ағарту министрінің 2025 жылғы 24 ақпандығы №31 бұйрығы. <https://adilet.zan.kz/rus/docs/G25HP000031> (қаралу күні 24.10.2025)

5 **Aktoprak, A., Hursen, C.** A bibliometric and content analysis of critical thinking in primary education // Thinking Skills and Creativity. – 2022. – №4(44). – Р. 1-10. – <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2022.101029>

6 **Акешова, М., Абжекенова Б.** Профессиональная педагогическая компетенция: ключевой пункт развития креативных способностей обучаемых // Вестник КазНУ: серия педагогические науки. – 2023. – №1(74). – С. 155-164. – <https://doi.org/10.26577/JES.2023.v74.i1.015>

References

1. Aimagambetov, A. (2022). *Balany zhabirleudin (bullying) profilaktikasy қагидалары [Rules for preventing bullying of children]*. Order of the Minister of Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated December 21, 2022, No. 506 <https://adilet.zan.kz/kaz/docs/V2200031180> (review date 24.10.2025) [in Kazakh]

2. Mehari, K., Beulah, B., Paskewich, B., Leff, S., & Waasdorp, T. (2022). Cyberbullying and empathy among late-elementary school children. *International Journal of Bullying Prevention*, 5, 79-87. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42380-022-00119-9> [in English]

3. Abdigapbarova, U., Zhienbaeva, N. (2021). Nauchno-metodicheskie rekomendacii sovershenstvovaniya professional'noj podgotovki budushchego uchitelya na osnove studentocentrirovannogo obucheniya v usloviyah cifrovoj sredy [Scientific and methodological recommendations for improving the professional training of a future teacher on the basis of student-centred learning in a digital environment]. *Journal "Pedagogy and Psychology" of the Abai Kazakh National Pedagogical University*, 2(47), 31-40. <https://doi.org/10.51889/2021-2.2077-6861.03> [in Russian]

4. Boboc, R., & Damaševičius, R. (2024). Confronting bullying in the digital age: Role of extended reality. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29, 1-30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12557-7> [in English]

5. Deng, X., Yang, J., & Wu, Y. (2021). Adolescent empathy influences bystander defending in school bullying: A three-level meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 690898. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.690898> [in English]

Б.А. Матаев^{*1}, К.К. Шалғынбаева², З.К. Кульшарипова¹

¹Ә. Марғұлан атындағы Павлодар педагогикалық университеті, Павлодар, Қазақстан

²Л.Н. Гумилев атындағы Еуразия ұлттық университеті, Астана, Қазақстан

Covid-19 кезінде студенттерде «Мен» тұжырымдамасын қалыптастыру ерекшеліктері

Аннотация. Мәтін, мәтін, мәтін. ...

Кілтті сөздер: «Мен» тұжырымдамасы, кәсіби дайындық, студент, тұлға, болашақ маман.

Б.А. Матаев^{*1}, К.К. Шалғынбаева², З.К. Кульшарипова¹

¹Павлодарский педагогический университет имени Ә. Марғұлан, Павлодар, Казахстан

²Евразийский национальный университет им. Л.Н. Гумилева, Астана, Казахстан

Особенности формирования «Я» концепции у студентов во время covid-19

Аннотация. Текст, текст, текст ...

Ключевые слова: «Я» концепция, профессиональная подготовка, студент, личность, будущий специалист.

Information about the authors:

Matayev Berik – the author is for correspondence, Philosophy Doctor (PhD), Associate Professor of the Higher School of Pedagogy, Pavlodar Pedagogical University named after Alkey Margulan, Pavlodar, Kazakhstan. Tel. +77773179995. E-mail: matayevba@pspu.kz. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0610-5108>

Doncheva Juliya – Philosophy Doctor (PhD), Professor, Angel Kanchev university of Ruse, Ruse, Bulgaria. E-mail: jdoncheva@uni-ruse.bg. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3148-3220>

Akhmetova Dinara – Master's student, Pavlodar Pedagogical University named after Alkey Margulan, Pavlodar, Kazakhstan. E-mail: dinaraakhmetova11@gmail.com

Авторлар туралы мәлемет:

Матаев Берік Айтбайұлы – хат-хабар үшін автор, философия докторы (PhD), Педагогика жынысы мектебінің қауымдастырылған профессоры, Әлкей Марғұлан атындағы Павлодар педагогикалық университеті, Павлодар, Қазақстан. Tel. +77773179995. E-mail: matayevba@pspu.kz. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0610-5108>

Дончева Юлия – философия докторы (PhD), профессор, Ангел Кънчев Рузе университеті, Рузе, Болгария. E-mail: jdoncheva@uni-ruse.bg. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3148-3220>

Ахметова Динара Салкеновна – магистрант, Әлкей Марғұлан атындағы Павлодар педагогикалық университеті, Павлодар, Қазақстан. E-mail: dinaraakhmetova11@gmail.com

Информация об авторах:

Матаев Берик Айтбаевич – автор для корреспонденции, доктор философии (PhD), ассоциированный профессор высшей школы педагогики, Павлодарский педагогический университет имени Әлкей Марғұлан, Павлодар, Казахстан. Tel. +77773179995. E-mail: mataevba@pspu.kz. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0610-5108>

Дончева Юлия – доктор философии (PhD), профессор, Русенский университет Ангел Кънчев, Рузе, Болгария. E-mail: jdoncheva@uni-ruse.bg. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3148-3220>

Ахметова Динара Салкеновна – магистрант, Павлодарский педагогический университет имени Әлкей Марғұлан, Павлодар, Казахстан. E-mail: dinaraakhmetova11@gmail.com

Tariffs for publishing services

PAYMENT IS MADE AFTER ACCEPTANCE OF THE ARTICLE FOR PUBLICATION, IN ANY WAY CONVENIENT FOR YOU

The cost of the publication is **50.000 (fifty thousand) тенге**

Foreign authors, if they are published **free** of charge without co-authorship with domestic scientists.

Our banking details:

NAO "Pavlodar Pedagogical University"

BIN 040340005741

IIN KZ609650000061536309

JSC «Forte Банк»

BIC IRTYKZKA

OKPO 40200973

KBE 16

Through the Kaspi app:

Payments – Education – Universities, colleges – Fill in all the boxes

In the region column, select Pavlodar

In the column educational institution – PPU

In the Faculty column, specify - for the publication "PBKaz"

In the course column, specify the journal number

Specify the full name of the first author

Through the Halyk app:

Payments – Education – Universities, colleges – Fill in all the boxes

In the column educational institution – PPU

In the column purpose of payment - for the publication " PBKaz"

Specify the full name of the first author

The group – the author
Specialty – the title of the article
Course - journal number
Form - full-time

Be sure to attach a payment receipt in your personal account

Publication ethics

Members of the editorial board of the scientific journal "Pedagogical Journal of Kazakhstan" in their professional activities adhere to the principles and norms of the "Publication Ethics for the scientific journal "Pedagogical Journal of Kazakhstan". Publication ethics has been developed in accordance with the international publication ethics standard of the [Committee on Publication Ethics \(COPE\)](#), the ethical principles of publishing Scopus (Elsevier) journals, the Code of Academic Integrity of Pavlodar Pedagogical University.

Publication ethics defines norms, principles and standards of ethical behavior of editors, reviewers and authors, measures to identify conflicts of interest, unethical behavior, instructions for withdrawal (retraction), correction and retraction of an article.

All participants of the publication process, observe the principles, norms and standards of publication ethics.

The quality of the scientific journal is ensured by the fulfilment of the principles of the participants of the publication process: equality of all authors, the principle of confidentiality, single publication, authorship of the manuscript, the principle of originality, the principle of confirmation of sources, the principle of objectivity and timeliness of reviewing.

Rights and duties of the editor-in-chief and executive secretary. The duties and rights of the Editor-in-Chief and the responsible secretary of the Pedagogical Bulletin of Kazakhstan are defined by the respective approved job descriptions.

Rights and obligations of reviewers

Reviewers of the journal "Pedagogical Bulletin of Kazakhstan" are obliged to be guided by the principle of objectivity.

Personal criticism of the author(s) of the manuscript is inadmissible. The reviewer should argue his/her remarks and justify his/her decision to accept or reject the manuscript.

Nationality, religious affiliation, political or other views of the author(s) should not be taken into account and should not be taken into account in the process of manuscript review by the reviewer(s).

The reviewer's expertise should contribute to the editorial decision on publication and help the author to improve the manuscript.

The decision to accept the manuscript for publication, to return the work to the author for revision or revision, or to reject it from publication is made by the editorial board based on the results of reviewing.

Principle of timeliness of reviewing. The reviewer is obliged to provide the review within the period determined by the Editorial Board, but not later than 2 weeks from the moment of receipt of the manuscript for review. If it is impossible to review the article and prepare the review within the appointed terms, the reviewer should immediately notify the scientific editor.

The reviewer, who considers that his/her qualification does not correspond or is insufficient to make a decision when reviewing the submitted manuscript, should immediately inform the scientific editor and refuse to review the manuscript.

Principle of confidentiality on the part of the reviewer. The manuscript submitted to the reviewer for review should be treated as confidential material. The reviewer has the right to display it and/or discuss it with others only after obtaining written permission from the scientific editor of the journal and/or the author(s).

The information and ideas of the scientific work obtained during the review and ensuring the publication process should not be used by the reviewer(s) for personal gain.

Principle of acknowledgement of sources. The reviewer should identify scientific papers that would have influenced the research results of the manuscript under review but were not cited by the author(s). The reviewer should also draw the attention of the scientific editor to significant similarities or overlaps between the manuscript under review and previously published work of which he or she is aware.

If the reviewer has sufficient grounds to believe that the manuscript contains plagiarism, incorrect borrowings, false and fabricated materials or research results, he/she should not allow the manuscript for publication and inform the scientific editor of the journal about the identified violations of principles, standards and norms of publication and scientific ethics.

Rights and obligations of authors

Publication ethics is based on the observance of principles:

Single publication. The author(s) guarantee that the manuscript submitted to the editorial office has not been submitted for consideration to other publications. Submission of a manuscript to several journals/editions at the same time is unacceptable and is a gross violation of the principles, standards and norms of publication ethics.

Authorship of the manuscript. The person who made the greatest intellectual contribution to the preparation of the manuscript (with two or more co-authors) is the corresponding author and is listed first in the list of authors.

Each article should have a corresponding author who is responsible for the preparation of the final version of the article, communication with the editorial

board, should ensure that all participants of the study (if the number of authors is more than one) who have made sufficient contribution to it are included in the list of authors, and should obtain approval of the final version of the manuscript from all authors for submission to the editorial board for publication. All authors named in the manuscript/article are responsible for the content of the paper.

Principle of originality. The author(s) guarantees that the research results stated in the manuscript represent original independent work and do not contain incorrect borrowings and plagiarism, which can be revealed in the process.

Authors are responsible for publishing articles with signs of unethical behaviour, plagiarism, self-plagiarism, self-citation, falsification, fabrication, misrepresentation, false authorship, duplication, conflict of interest and deception.

Principle of acknowledgement of sources. The author(s) undertakes to correctly cite the scientific and other sources that he/she used in the course of the research. In case of using any parts of other people's work and/or borrowing statements of another author(s), the manuscript should contain bibliographic references with the author(s) of the original source. Information obtained from questionable sources should not be used in the manuscript.

In case the reviewers, scientific editor, member(s) of the editorial board of the journal have doubts about the authenticity and reliability of the research results, the author(s) should provide additional materials to confirm the results or facts presented in the manuscript.

Correction of errors during the publication process. In case of errors and inaccuracies in the work at any stage of the publication process, the authors are obliged to promptly inform the scientific editor and provide assistance in eliminating or correcting the error for publication on the journal's website of the corresponding correction with comments. In case of gross errors that cannot be corrected, the author(s) should withdraw the manuscript/article.

Principle of adherence to publication ethics. Authors are obliged to observe ethical standards related to criticism or comments on research, as well as in relation to interaction with the editorial board regarding review and publication. Failure to comply with ethical principles by authors is considered as a gross violation of publication ethics and provides grounds for withdrawal of the manuscript from review and/or publication.

Conflict of interests

Conflict of interest, as defined by the Publication Ethics Committee (COPE), is a conflict situation in which authors, reviewers, or editorial board members have an implicit interest that could influence their judgement regarding the published material. A conflict of interest arises when there are financial, personal, or professional conditions that may influence the scientific judgement of the reviewer and editorial board members and, as a result, the editorial board's decision regarding the publication of the manuscript.

The Editor-in-Chief, editorial board member, and reviewers should notify the Editor-in-Chief of any potential conflict of interest that may somehow influence the editorial board's decision. Members of the Editorial Board should recuse themselves from reviewing the manuscript if they have any competitive relationships related to the research results of the author(s) of the manuscript or if there is any other conflict of interest.

When submitting a manuscript for consideration to the journal, the author(s) declare that the content of the manuscript identifies all sources of funding for the research; also indicate any commercial, financial, personal, or professional factors that would create a conflict of interest with respect to the submitted manuscript. The author(s), in the cover letter, if a conflict of interest exists, may identify scientists who they feel would not be able to objectively evaluate their manuscript.

The reviewer should not review manuscripts that may give rise to a conflict of interest arising from competition, collaboration, or other relationships with any of the authors involved with the manuscript.

If there is a conflict of interest with the content of the manuscript, the executive secretary should notify the Editor-in-Chief, after which the manuscript is transferred to another reviewer.

The existence of a conflict of interest between the participants in the review process does not mean that the manuscript will be rejected.

All interested parties should, as far as possible, avoid conflicts of interest in any variation at all stages of publication. If any conflict of interest arises, the person who detects the conflict should immediately notify the editorial office. The same applies to any other violation of the principles, standards and norms of publication and scientific ethics.

Unethical behavior

Unethical behavior is considered to be the actions of authors, editors or publisher, in the case of independent review of their own articles, in the case of contractual and false reviewing, in the conditions of using agency services to publish the results of scientific research, false authorship, falsification and fabrication of research results, publication of unreliable pseudo-scientific texts, transfer of manuscripts of articles to other publications without the permission of the authors, transfer of authors' materials to third parties, conditions when copyrights are infringed

Peer review

All manuscripts submitted to the editorial office of the journal "Pedagogical Bulletin of Kazakhstan" are subjected to mandatory blind review. Based on the reviews received, the editorial board of the journal makes the final decision. The order of consideration of articles:

1. To apply for the publication of an article you need to go to the journal's website <https://pedvestnik.ppu.edu.kz/> and register. Fill in the text fields. Attach

the file of the article in .doc / .docx format (MS Word), the file of the payment receipt, sign the public offer - an agreement on the independent nature of the submitted manuscript, agreement to check the article for plagiarism and grant exclusive rights to the publisher. Check the completed data and click the "Send" button.

2. The manuscript sent by the author is received by the editorial office of the journal. The responsible secretary checks the manuscript for compliance with technical requirements.

3. The Journal Manager checks the manuscript for self-authorship through the automatic plagiarism check system. According to the results of the automatic plagiarism check of the manuscript, the full report on the check is uploaded. If a low percentage of originality - below the established threshold - is detected, the article is not accepted for consideration for publication in the journal.

4. Next, the journal manager sends the article to the editor-in-chief. The Editor-in-Chief reviews the article for compliance with the subject of the journal. In case of non-compliance, the editorial office sends a letter to the author with a justification for refusal of publication. On average, the initial review of an article takes 10 days.

5. Next, the Editor-in-Chief identifies reviewers, for each article that passed the initial screening.

6. Further, the responsible secretary sends the manuscript with the full report on plagiarism check to the reviewers in order to determine the possibility of publishing the article in the journal.

7. The journal uses a double-blind reviewing procedure, in which the editorial board does not disclose the names of reviewers. Reviewers provide the editorial office of the journal with reasonable reviews of the article, including the relevance of the topic under study, the author's contribution to the scientific field, the level of results obtained. All reviews contain a recommendation to publish the article (with or without revisions) or to refuse publication. All reviews are received by the Editorial Board of the Journal.

8. Further, the executive secretary sends reviews to the editor-in-chief.

9. In case of receipt of reviews for revision with the consent of the Editor-in-Chief, the responsible secretary sends the review of the article to the author with the note "to revise the article according to the reviewer's comments". In this case, the author should submit the corrected version of the article within two weeks.

10. All materials on the article (reviews, report on plagiarism) are submitted to the meeting of the editorial board. The decision on the possibility of publishing an article in the journal is made by the editorial board of the journal based on the reviews of the reviewers. Articles that have received a negative review are not accepted for re-consideration. On average, the decision to publish is made within 8 weeks.

11. All reviews and the decision on the article are sent to the author who submitted the article by the editorial board. Publication is carried out in the order of the general queue as soon as the material is ready. The editors have the right to form a queue in accordance with the headings of upcoming issues.

Policy on the use of artificial intelligence (AI)

In the process of preparing a scientific article, ethical norms and standards of academic integrity must be observed, including responsible use of artificial intelligence tools. The main provisions of the usage policy And in the article:

1. Limited use of I. Artificial intelligence tools (including language models such as ChatGPT) were used solely for the purposes of:

- initial structuring of the text,
- checking language style and grammar,
- generating abstract formulations and section titles.

AI was not used to replace the author's analysis, interpretation of data, or development of scientific conclusions.

2. Control of authorship. All scientific ideas, hypotheses, interpretations and final formulations were developed and approved by the authors of the article. The authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality and scientific validity of the submitted material.

3. Check the originality. The AI-generated materials were subjected to mandatory processing and verification for plagiarism using specialized software to ensure the originality and academic integrity of the text.

4. Compliance with the norms of publication ethics. The use of AI was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics) and the guidelines of journals that allow the auxiliary use of digital assistants, subject to disclosure of this fact.

5. In case of detection of the use of artificial intelligence tools in an amount exceeding 20% of the total text of the article based on the results of verification in the Anti-Plagiarism system, the editorial board reserves the right to reject the manuscript without the possibility of resubmission.

Retraction of Articles

Retraction is the withdrawal of a text (article) from publication, indicating that the publication contains serious flaws or erroneous data that cannot be trusted. The retraction procedure is conducted to prevent cases of duplicate publications (when authors submit the same articles or data (without appropriate citations) to multiple journals), plagiarism, and the concealment of conflicts of interest that could have influenced the interpretation of data or recommendations for their use (COPE. Retraction guidelines. Available from:

<http://publicationethics.org/files/retraction%20guidelines.pdf>).

Data inaccuracies and duplication may result from either misunderstandings, unintentional errors, or deliberate violations.

Reasons and grounds for article retraction:

1) discovery of plagiarism in the publication;

If only a small part of the article (e.g., a few sentences) is plagiarized, editors should consider whether it would be better for readers (and for the author of the work) to make corrections to the article with proper citations to the source, rather than fully retracting the article.

2) duplication of the article in multiple publications;

3) discovery of falsifications or fabrications (e.g., manipulation of experimental data) or significant errors in the interpretation of results;

4) incorrect author list (missing individuals who deserve to be authors; inclusion of individuals who do not meet authorship criteria);

5) concealed conflict of interest (and other violations of publication ethics);

6) republishing an article without the author's consent.

Procedure for Article Retraction

The reasons for article retraction are a request from the author(s) for retraction of the article and a proposal from the editor-in-chief of the journal.

The decision to retract an article is made by the editorial board of the journal based on a proposal from the editor-in-chief, which includes information about the reason for retraction. The decision is made considering the response from the author, in which the author justifies their position regarding the retraction, provided such a response is received.

If the author(s) deem it necessary to retract the article, they must contact the editorial office, providing a reasoned explanation for their decision. The editorial office responds to the authors and, if there are valid reasons for retraction, will independently carry out the retraction of the article text.

If the editorial office decides to retract the article based on its own review or information received, the author(s) will be informed, and their opinion on the justification for the editor-in-chief's retraction proposal will be requested. If the author(s) ignore the editorial office's request the editorial office has the right to proceed with the retraction of the publication without considering the author's opinion.

Technical Aspects of Article Retraction Correction

The results of the retraction should be reflected in both the printed and electronic versions of the journal, with the article title clearly indicating that the article is retracted. The reasons for the retraction should be listed under the abstract, along with the names of individuals or organizations that initiated the retraction (see: COPE. Retraction guidelines. Available from:

<http://publicationethics.org/files/retraction%20guidelines.pdf>).

In the printed version of the journal, the retraction notice should be reflected in the table of contents, for example, under the heading "Notice of Retraction".

The retraction notice must include a full bibliographic reference to the article in Kazakh, Russian, and English, along with the DOI.

In the electronic version of the journal, the retraction notice should be reflected in the same way as in the printed version. The retracted article is retained in the database and on the journal's website, with the indication "Article Retracted" at the beginning of the article, as well as the retraction date (the date the retraction notice was published). The fact of the retraction must be reported to all databases where the journal is indexed.